## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

) )
) Case No. 21-cv-00525-FJG
)
)
) )

## <u>ORDER</u>

In advance of the upcoming discovery dispute teleconference and to help narrow the issues, the Court would like the parties to address the following questions. Counsel shall file their responses to the following questions on or before **Monday February 7**, **2022** at **3:00 p.m.** The responses shall not exceed four double-spaced pages.

The questions the parties shall address are as follows:

- 1. On December 17, 2021 Blue KC offered to produce its policies and procedures subject to the Protective Order. Does GS Labs contend that the policies and procedures are not confidential? If so, state the reason. What is GS Labs' objection to waiving its right to contest the confidentiality of these documents?
- 2. Why did Blue KC ask GS Labs to withdraw the rest of its discovery related to these policies and procedures? Would Blue KC be willing to respond to the discovery if the documents were produced subject to a protective order?

3. Why does GS Labs believe that these policies and procedures are within the scope of

discovery? How specifically do these policies and procedures relate to GS Labs' claims

and/or defenses?

4. What information does GS Labs believe Dr. Sweat can provide regarding the policies

and procedures in question?

5. What topics does GS Labs intend to ask Dr. Sweat? Why does GS Labs believe that

it is necessary to have these policies/procedures produced before his deposition?

6. In Blue KC's Rule 26(a)(1) Disclosures, it references that Dr. Sweat would provide

information regarding Blue KC's policies & practices. Specifically, what policies and

practices is this a reference to and how does it differ from what the defendant is

requesting?

Date: February 4, 2022

Kansas City, Missouri

S/ FERNANDO J. GAITAN, JR.

Fernando J. Gaitan, Jr. United States District Judge